

**Outline of Stormwater Rules Work Group Talk
July 10 Meeting**

- Brief summary of stressors that urbanization places on the aquatic life in streams
 - Loss of stable habitat as a result of channel instability (frequent down-cutting, widening, sedimentation) due to elevated frequency and duration of erosive flows, loss of flood plain and channel alterations
 - Loss of habitat and velocity as a result of reduced base-flow
 - Loss of diversity of habitat and velocity due to loss of riparian debris contributions
 - Elevated temperatures due to loss of riparian shade, reduced baseflow and warm stormwater contributions
 - Sedimentation of habitat from upstream channel failures and/or watershed erosion
 - Eutrophication from stormwater nutrients, and possible diurnal DO depressions from a combination of plant respiration and reduced flow
 - Toxic effects of stormwater contaminants (metals, hydrocarbons)
- Brief summary effects these stressors have on aquatic life
 - Loss of temperature and DO sensitive taxa
 - Shift to taxa with short life cycles
 - Shift from heterotrophic to autotrophic energy/organic matter source
 - Loss of species diversity and dominance of tolerant, short life cycle taxa
 - Shift of community from insect to non-insect taxa
 - Loss of stream community's ability to process organic matter
- Discussion of Class B and Class C river and stream aquatic life standards
 - Class B. . . shall be of sufficient quality to support all aquatic life indigenous to the stream without detrimental changes in the resident biological community.
 - Class C. . . shall be of sufficient quality to support all species of fish indigenous to the stream and maintain the structure and function of the biological community.
 - DEP evaluates stream attainment of AqL standards using a model that predicts probability that the stream attains a certain class based on a variety of metrics of the macroinvertebrate community.
- Discussion of the relationship between watershed % imperviousness and stream quality
 - Studies from around the country suggest that at 10% watershed imperviousness streams start to show significant impairment of the biological community and are severely degraded at 25% or greater.
 - For Maine, no streams with greater than 10% imperviousness that have been evaluated for attainment of aquatic life standards have met Class B standards, and many fail to meet Class C standards as well.

July 10, 2002

- Brief description of method used to estimate % imperviousness in Maine stream watersheds
- Discussion of list and maps of streams with 7% or greater watershed imperviousness
 - Mostly 2nd and 1st order streams, many unnamed, in urban or urbanizing areas
 - % watershed imperviousness
 - Attainment status and likelihood that many more streams will fail to meet class once they are evaluated
- Discussion of % watershed imperviousness/attainment status as a basis for defining streams most at risk from development
 - Recommend 7% because:
 - Stream watersheds >7% are likely to pass 10% threshold in 10 to 25 years. The streams that are currently less than 10% imperviousness are the ones where implementation of stormwater standards on new development may effectively avoid or delay impairment.
 - Current imperviousness estimates probably underestimate actual imperviousness because they are based on a 92 satellite image and do not include last 10 years of construction
 - Non-attainment streams will need more than implementation of stormwater standards on new development. In order to bring streams into attainment, will need to address existing sources/problems.
 - TMDLs
 - Stormwater Utilities
 - Stream and Riparian Restoration
- Discussion of quantity standards for most at risk and non-attainment streams
 - Discussion of volume vs. peak control; overlapping peaks; importance of more frequent storm events than 2, 10 and 25; and options for minimizing erosive flows
 - Proposed stream protection standard. 24 hr extended detention of the 1 year storm
 - Flooding standard. Peak flow 25 yr 24 hr storm.
 - Probable need for retrofitted controls in non-attainment watersheds
- Discussion of quality standards for most at risk and non-attainment streams
 - Sliding scale TSS and alternatives
 - 80% TSS
 - Off-sets, mitigation, stormwater utility, etc.